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Dear editor,

Thank you so much for your and reviewers’ timely and excellent comments. We take all comments seriously and have carefully revised our manuscript accordingly. Our detailed responses to their comments are listed below, with the original comments in italic red font.

Reviewer 1
1) Figure 3 legend: D) parenthesis is missing.
Our response
Added as suggested. See figure legend section.

2) Figure 2E: highlight the tumor mass on the image.
Our response
Revised as suggested. See Figure 2E.

3) Figure 3C and D: show an insert of image with high magnification.
Our response
Revised as suggested. See Figures 3A-3D.

Reviewer 2 (R2)
1. Rectal HPCs are extremely rare. So, you had better show the macroscopic photos.
Our response
This is one retrospective case; we did not obtain the macroscopic photos because the macroscopic photos were not routinely taken in our hospital.

2. Readers will be interested in the tortuously vessels around tumor.
Our response
Added arrows in figures 2B and 2C and figure legend as suggested. See the figures 2B and 2C. The following sentence was also added: Marked contrast-enhanced structure (red arrow) corresponding to tortuous vessels was shown with CT value was 140.0 HU in the arterial phase B) and 111.6 HU in the venous phase C).

Again, thank you very much and look forward to hearing from you soon!

Merry Christmas and happy new year!

Sincerely yours,

Li Lu

24-12-2010