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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: No

Comments to authors:

Interesting case report well worth publishing particularly in light of recent reports of falling cervical cancer screening rates since the introduction of HPV Vaccine. However I feel the language is very fragmented and most paragraphs in the first half of the text need to be broken down into clear and concise smaller sentences. Some minor typographical errors need correction like "squamocollumnar" for "squamocolumnar" and "rales" for "rales". Also, there is a place for cutting the narrative down as the length of the article is quite long and makes for tedious reading.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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