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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Title accurately reflects the major topic of this interesting case report.

Abstract gives a clear insight to the main reason why this case was chosen for a case report. Description of the case reveals most of the relevant information about the patient, his clinical state and signs that were presented at hospital. All treatment and diagnostic procedures (including illustrative figures 1-5) that were carried out were clearly stated. However, it is unusual that spleen is the only organ affected with amyloid deposits - pathologic examination of other organs was performed?

Discussion is well organized, clearly written.

Conclusion has “the take home message”.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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