Reviewer’s report

Title: case report: Situs inversus totalis with perforated duodenal ulcer.
Mohammad Tayeb* MBBS, FCPS, Faiz Mohammad Khan* MBBS, FRCS, Fozia Rauf** MBBS, FCPS

Version: 1 Date: 15 October 2010

Reviewer: Shanmuga Sundaram

Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

coincidental diagnosis with a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The case report is written nicely. Though you have reported it as probably second case, there may be many cases of situs inversus with perforated duodenal ulcer. I would say this case as perforated duodenal ulcer with coincidental diagnosis of situs inversus. However reporting these type of cases helps the medical people to recognise the coincidental but important disease which influences the surgical or medical management of the patient.
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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