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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The manuscript is well prepared and informatively written. But I would need some more information to complete the report include

1. The visual outcome after procedures stated in the manuscript as counting finger at 5 feet which was not standard. Should the author provide visual acuity with +10 lens (due to aphakic eye) or without +10 lens by Snellen chart reading because the patient could count fingers at the distance farer than 3 feet?
2. Is there any investigations done to support the post-operative visual acuity such as VEP, ERG? If yes, the regain of the VA may be somehow confirmed.
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