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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I think the authors have tried as much as possible to present a very rare and an interesting case. However, the flow of written English is not good enough. For example, there were frequent use of commas when indeed they are not necessary. There were also frequent use of definite articles 'the' when it was not necessary. For example: The stenosis was not obvious in THE diastole, but especially severe in THE systole. The word divided was wrongly spelt throughout. There were also a number of spelling errors which the authors need to correct.

In the session under presentation, the first three lines should be reframed to convey your message. Your statement sounds as if all the symptoms started after the use of nitroglycerin.
The drug carvedilol is not just a beta-blocker but both alpha-and beta-blocker.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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