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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: No

Comments to authors:

Dear Authors, as you made the corrections pointed at the previous review, I think that the paper is suitable for publication.

Unluckily you don´t have preoperative US or CT scan, but the fulltext has explanatory value and, as already told to you, we should know this kind of anomalies.

Dr Guillermo Michelic.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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