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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The case report is original and so few reports of appendix duplication are described, specially in children.
Also it is rare the presentation, with acute appendicitis (both of them).
This kind of anomaly of the cecal appendix is a rare one, and it is important to know its existence.
A laparoscopic approach would be better to make an appropriate diagnosis, and it allows a wider vision.
Congratulations on the case report.

Dr Guillermo Michelic
Buenos Aires. Argentina.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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