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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unreported or unusual side effects or adverse interactions involving medications

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is a very interesting report of a hitherto unrecognised complication of collagen cross linkage for keratoconus. However the write-up of the article is far from satisfactory to be considered for publication in a mainstream journal. Many critical references are missed out. For example the first ine of Case presentation section of the abstract. The use of grammar and spelling is also very poor. The very next line to the above example has too many "and"s. Decemetocoele is spelt wrong. Use of language like "His cornea state..." as opposed to "his corneal state" all taken from the same paragraph are illutrative of the numerous errors that are found scattered throughout the paper.

Although the subject matter is very good and deserves pubication, I feel that the quality of the language and adherence to the norms of scientific writing for
publication, has been a major let down for this submission.

**Quality of written English:** Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited