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Dear Prof. Michael Kidd:

Thank you very much for all the considerations made during the evaluation of the manuscript “Oncocytic carcinoma of the parotid gland with late cervical lymph node metastases: a case report”. We tried to adequately address the criticisms outlined in the reviewers' reports, highlighting the changes made in the manuscript. We have also modified Figure 1, as suggested.

Unfortunately, we could not access to the attached text of reviewer 3; we have informed of this subject by mail, but we have not received any document yet.

Thank you very much.

Correspondence should be directed to me at the following address:
Oviedo University. School of Dentistry.
Catedrático José Serrano Street. 33009. Oviedo. Spain.
(telephone no. +34-985103636)
e-mail address: Junquera@uniovi.es

Thank you for your attention to our submission.

Yours sincerely,

Luis Junquera
AUTHORS’ COMMENTS TO REVIEWER #1

We thank and agree with comment of the reviewer and discuss the use of frozen section during surgery. We have added in the discussion the paragraph: “In the case reported, intraoperative frozen sections of the tumor would have been indicated for pathological diagnosis and alter surgical decision. FNAC alone did not determine a correct diagnosis and first surgical management was inadequate.”

We thank the reviewer’s comments and we tried to solve most of them, highlighting changes in the manuscript. Thank you very much.
AUTHORS’ COMMENTS TO REVIEWER #2

We thank the reviewer’s comments. We have highlighted changes in the manuscript.

Thank you very much.

AUTHORS’ COMMENTS TO REVIEWER #3

We thank and agree with comment of the reviewer and changed the term “correct” to “standard” in the discussion.

We have made a spelling & grammar check, and corrected spelling mistakes.

Unfortunately, we could not access the attached text; we have informed of this subject by mail, but we have not received any document yet.

We thank the reviewer’s comments. We have highlighted changes in the manuscript.

Thank you very much.