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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Findings that shed new light on the possible pathogenesis of a disease or an adverse effect

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

**Comments to authors:**

This is a very interesting article with an impressive period of 12 years of patient follow-up. It is well written in a logical manner.

A few minor points to improve

1. Clarify the way you intend to note references in the body of the text. ie 4-8 or 4,5,6,7,8
2. Histological slides from the core biopsy would complete the case report.

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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