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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

If other, please specify:

The report does not provide insight to an unusual medical issue or treatment. It describes hysterectomy for uterine rupture with placenta increta in a patient with hemodynamical instability----this is standard care.

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Avoid using "Anyway" and "On the other hand"---this language is too casual for a publication. Some of the medical terminology is not well translated: "second parous woman" and "concluded through cesarean section." I could understand the case, but the language is awkward due to translation.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being
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