Reviewer's report

Title: High-dose steroid therapy for idiopathic optic perineuritis: a case series

Version: 1 Date: 24 May 2010

Reviewer: Toshinobu Kubota

Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unreported or unusual side effects or adverse interactions involving medications

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors describe on the treatments of idiopathic optic perineuritis. This article is well-written. I ask a few questions.

#1. Authors should describe the duration from the onset to initial treatment in Case 3.

#2. Page 6 line 4. Case 1 and 2 received moderate dosage of steroids. Therefore, “even if the initiation of treatment is delayed.” should be deleted and would be better for example, “even if initial treatments including moderate dosage of steroids are failed.”
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