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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I enjoyed reviewing the article with the title of "Benign Cystic Mesothelioma of the Appendix"

1. the article needs some special attention in the redaction and the grammar of the article to look more professional.

2. One of the differential diagnosys in a woman of this age and a pelvic mass and labile fever could be a tuboovarian abcess and the authors never mentioned if they did cultures. or at least I think it should be mention in the discussion.

3. The article reports a benign cytic mesothelioma, that it is a rare entity but it soley reason that is "rare" is not the only reason to be published, think that with some modifications making more emphasys to the differential diagnosys and that the diagnosys of this disease is basically by exclusion or as a finding while
surgical excision.

4. My recommendation is to accept the article with the pertinent modifications in the grammar and the previous observations that I previously described.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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