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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors reported a postmenapausal choriocarcinoma due to its rarity. This is a well-written report of a rare entity. They discuss the literature. In the literature, there is another reported case with long period between last pregnancy and development of postmenapausal choriocarcinoma (16 years after menapouse and 25 years after last pregnancy). The citation of this case would be useful (Yildiz R, et al. Onkologie. 2009 Jul;32(7):417-9).

Quality of written English: Acceptable