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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Thought provoking report. I would like a few points addressed that you should be able to deal with with pretty quickly and not delay publication:

1. Please expand discussion on true hermaphroditism, including risk of cancer, what age it is usually diagnosed at (if known), comment on the index case's presence of right ovary, left "testis" with apparant absent epididymis - was vas seen?

2. Do you know if the patient had been instructioned on testicular self examination?

3. Was instruction on groin self examination given following gonadectomy? Perhaps you could recommend that all cases prior violation be instructed on this, if primary inguinal LND is not performed.
4. Why 2 cycles of carboplatin? Is this standard for seminoma T2b? Was he offered prophylactic carboplatin following gonadectomy?
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