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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Dear authors,

I have been thinking that your manuscript is interesting and includes very important information for the diagnosis of Takayasu's arteritis. The authors have added and changed some descriptions in the manuscript following reviewers’ comments, therefore the description have become clearer for understanding by the readers. Now I think this revised version of manuscript worthy of publication.

Sincerely,

Reviewer 1
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