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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

INTERESTING REPORT BUT NEED A CLEARER DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENTS WITH FULL DETAILS. NEED A BETTER DEFINITION OF TAKAYASU'S ARTERITIS IN THE INTRODUCTION

- General comments
  *An interesting subject, but the report needs revisions in organisation and expression.

- Revisions necessary for publication
  *Clearer description of the episodes of loss of consciousness *Improved definition of Takayasu's arteritis
Has the case been reported coherently? 
NO, LANGUAGE AND EXPRESSION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?  
- Yes IMPROVED DESCRIPTION OF THE EPISODES

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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