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Dear Editor,

Thank you for considering the following case report for your journal. I would like to thank the Reviewers for their recent comments and have tried my best to address the concerns that they had. I will now discuss the changes I have made to the manuscript addressing each of the reviewers’ comments in turn.

Reviewer 1 (Mr Razeghinejad) ➔ Thank you for your feedback. I have made changes to the manuscript addressing your comments in RED. 1) I have expanded on the description of the visual loss both from the patient’s symptomatic description + the visual assessment that was made. 2) I have provided relevant Full Blood Count details now (I have chosen what were felt to be the relevant blood test results – if there are any outstanding that you would like checked please do let me know) . 3) I have provided evidence of further investigations that were carried out to rule out other diagnoses including an LP as well as VEP study which reiterated optic neuropathy.

Reviewer 2 (Mr Cuzzo) ➔ Thank you for your feedback. I have made changes to the manuscript addressing your comments in BLUE. I note these were mainly spelling and grammar corrections which have been duly made.

I do hope that the relevant changes made will make this interesting case report suitable for publication
Kind Regards

Dr Anurag Garg
House Officer to Dr Kennedy (Consultant Neurologist)
Chelsea & Westminster Hospital
London
07505144580
anurag.garg@imperial.ac.uk