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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I have read the paper with great interest. This is the first case reported in the literature and I encourage the authors.

In the introduction there was explained very clearly the background of this presentation.

In the case presentation part - there were given details for the patient history. It was very interesting to see the clinical manifestations of this rare case of granulomatous pyoderma with chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis triggered by vaccinations, combined with enough laboratory and pathohistologic analyzes.

In the discussion - I think it is a bit longer than it should be and some data were already mentioned in above parts of paper.
The literature - In the references number 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15 need to add space after the reference order number. In the reference nr. 14 "ree ssive" need to close up in one word.

Generally, I think the paper is worth to read and so I suggest it should be published.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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