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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Findings that shed new light on the possible pathogenesis of a disease or an adverse effect

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

There were a couple grammatical errors on each page. On pg. 2: the last 4 words of the first sentence of the abstract doesn't flow well
Pg.3: take out "of" in the last sentence
Pg.4: in the second to last sentence of this page, change "while" to with sinus bradycardia. Change "the light response" to "and pupillary responses maintained."
Pg.5: in the last sentence, instead of "was persistently ", put remained impaired
Pg.6: Change "Already 30 years" to Thirty years ago (take out "Already")
The spelling of artefact to artifact
Abbreviate CT instead of writing out computertomography

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Declaration of competing interests:**

I declare that I have no competing interests