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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

**Comments to authors:**

**TITLE:** The emphasis of this report as is presented is on the fact that the varices were idiopathic and not on the recurrent lower GI bleeding; you may thus wish to recast the title to “Recurrent lower gastrointestinal bleeding from Idiopathic Ileocolonic Varices: a case report”.

**ABSTRACT:**

Line 7: change “uptil” to “up till”
Line 11: remove “( i )” before “excluding”
Line 12: put “.” after “hypertension” and delete “( ii ) family history of similar problems in some but not all cases”
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