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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The Authors present a neat case of postmenopausal endometriosis. The article is interesting but an English revision is necessary. It happens very often throughout the manuscript that verbs are at present instead of in simple past as it used to be. Specific comments follow:

Introduction
- Please report the incidence of this rare event;
- Explain the possible unpredictable complications of endometriosis

Case Presentation.
- The first sentence is too schematic.
- total laparoscopic hysterectomy
- Was Ca125 a serum value (s-Ca125)?
- Report the ranges of normality for blood tests quoted in the manuscript;
- Upon release do the Authors mean discharge from hospital?
- Histology is missing

Discussion.
- Please avoid long sentences;

Conclusions.
The last sentence reminds to women who suffered from endometriosis during their reproductive age but in the case reported here, it is clearly stated that the patient did not. Therefore, I would suggest a sentence with a wider point of view.

**Quality of written English:** Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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