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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

This is a report of the usual presentation of a uterine sarcoma which is rare but would add on to the number of reported cases to be shared with other gynae-oncologists.

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Overall there are many spelling mistakes in the report, example Abstrsact, Laprotomy. The author had written an imcomplete sentence in the last paragraph of the Abstract. The histopathological examination report of the surgical specimen removed should be included. The reference lists of each journal should include all the authors' names instead of et al.

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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