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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

It is a well written case report, with emphasis on importance of aggressiveness in treating patients with Extra pulmonary SCLC. Patient is still alive after 8 years.

We have few suggestions for consideration of authors

1. In page 3, surgical treatment was repeated twice.
2. Please consider changing the names of chemo drugs to Adriamycin and Cyclophosphamide.

Otherwise very important and well written casereport.
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