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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Dear author: which CEA and CA125 laboratory test has been used. Which lymph node was positive and which lymph nodes were removed. Why was Chemotherapy (for instance 5-FU) in combination with radiotherapy not given directly after the operation. Authors please clarify.

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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