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Dear reviewers;
Thanks for sharing your valuable time and knowledge with us. We have made some changes in article “Dentine dysplasia type I: report of an atypical case” as follows:

1) We have corrected the numeration of figures, and the surgical images have been eliminated which was not appropriate according to the first reviewer.
2) We have mentioned related surgical treatments like bone grafts and implants in discussion part.
3) The phrase “atypical” which was in title and the description of dentine dysplasia type II in introduction has been deleted.
4) We have mentioned subtypes of this abnormality in introduction and the reference Nevile 2002 have been changed to Nevile 2008 which was the last edition of this book.
5) According to radiographic and clinical features the reported case is subtype 1a and we have mentioned it in the case report.
6) The patients country have been mentioned in the case report and the error which was in word “in consist” have been corrected to “consist”.
7) The references have been corrected and declined to 15 references.
8) The discussion part has been changed in some sections.
9) Since histopathologically the periapical radiolucencies could be radicular cyst or periapical granuloma it is necessary to mention the histopathologic report in details, and the figure 5 is related to this description.
10) The authors have carefully examined the patient’s parents and siblings radiographically and clinically, since the DD type I is an autosomal dominant condition it should be apparent in the members of family and because in this case there were no history of abnormality in the family the patient was the first generation sufferer.
11) Although using a polarized light microscopic view is the best choice, but it is impossible for us at this time, and there are some other articles which have used stereomicroscope.

Regards
Mahkameh Mirkarimi