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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Unreported new treatment of a common condition; rectal prolapse + incontinence

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

It is an interesting paper related to a new treatment for patient diagnosed of rectal prolapse and incontinence. Some points should be clarified in order to improve the quality of this paper.

Introduction and discussion section can be halved.

It is said that the patient presented in this paper has been treated. Unfortunately, nowadays anal encirclement is not an option to treat faecal incontinence.

Data regarding incontinence are scarce. A validated score to measure incontinence has not been used. Manometrical data are not presented. Please
include.
Nowadays, it is recognized that determination of pudendal nerve motor latency is scarce. Please omitted this data
It is difficult to state the patient cans not handle and artificial bowel sphincter. This sentence can be omitted.
Figures do not add any value to the paper. Please omitted.
Typographical error “Dinamic gracile graciloplasty”

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Declaration of competing interests:**

I declare that I have no competing interests