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Dear editor,
The following changes were made to the manuscript as suggested by the referees. Hope you find them satisfactory.
Regards,
Sarathsistla.

**Referee 1**
The authors should give radiological advise as to how this might be picked up preoperatively, how the diagnosis is made histologically, and if tumour markers can be used to follow up the patient for recurrence - imaging, histological features and tumor markers discussed.

Declaration of competing interests: included.

**Referee 2**
1 - There are no references in the introduction part - references included.
2 - In the discussion part, references are not sufficient – additional references included.
3 - On the fourth paragraph of the discussion part, long version of the abbreviation "FNAC" is not stated - stated the expansion of FNAC
4 - It is ambiguous that how did the authors reach the conclusion of fine needle aspiration cytology (not discussed enough) - role and limitations of FNAC discussed.
5 - On the 6th reference, "(Article in Japanese with English abstract)" part should be deleted –corrected

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being Published – corrections made
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