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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This manuscript is very informative and well written. It is definitely suitable for publication and acceptance. Vernix caseosa peritonitis is a rare complication of C-sections and presenting 3 cases in one report is very impressive.

I do have however some minor editorial suggestions to the authors.

1. The abstract needs to be shortened to 1/3 of its current size.
2. The figure legends should include a description of the different arrows
3. One typo error: page 3, line 2 the word practice is misspelled.

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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