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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The following corrections should be made:
1. 18 cases were reported in the literature as at 2007, not 15 as reported by the authors.
2. Cases present between 3 to 35 dyas post CS, and majority do not present in the first 7 days.
3. The diagnostic histological appearance is the presence of collection of desquamated squamous cells which are ANUCLEATE.
4. Page 5, first line, penultimate paragraph: change 'pan' to 'pain'
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