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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 9 criteria for evaluation in the journal: None

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The article is well written and presented. It is an interesting case that deserves publication. Three points the authors could include shortly in discussion are: 1. cite the immunomarkers positive for the dendritic cells 2. comment if eosinophils were absent in their case and how useful/important it is for the diagnosis 3. considering all area biopsied, describe the the distribution of the dendritic cells in the epithelium, and their density.
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