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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This article is well organized and informative for clinicians. The authors focused on the malignant lymphoma case only with optic nerve swelling at initial visit. There are several missing information as following.

1. If author performed examinations except visual acuity such as visual field and fluorescein angiography at the initial visit, please describe.

2. The authors stated that all laboratory test was normal. Please describe what kind of tests were done.

3. In the figure legend, please specify what kinds of MRI conditions were used in Figure 1.
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