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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Comments to authors:

General
This report is well and concisely written. It is also a nice reminder of the potential aberrancies associated with accessory ovaries. It seems unfortunate that a left salpingectomy was required in a young girl.

Revisions necessary for publication
The last paragraph in the discussion is a bit long winded with regards to the time spent on "implants". One might ask what about remnants? Perhaps you can delete or shorten the last half of this paragraph. The first two sentences seem well spoken.

What next?: Accept after minor revisions

Quality of written English: Acceptable