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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Comments to authors:

General

Revisions necessary for publication:

The title should reflect granulomatous cheilitis as associated with crohn's exacerbation...since association of cheilitis with crohn's is already known...we are here trying to demonstrate that it may be associated with every exacerbation of crohn's disease...

Page 2: abstract-enlargement of lower lip may be replaced with swelling of lower lip

Page 2: abstract-crohn's disease...diagnosed on...may be replaced with diagnosed in..

Page 2: abstract-again the enlargement may be replaced with swelling...

Page 2: abstract-in conclusion...significant swollen of lower lip...may vbe replaced by significant swelling of lower lip...
Page 3: first line...oral lesions are...

Page 3: para 4..there are only scattered descriptions concerning this peculiar; seems to be redundant and creates ambiguity in readers mind. It may be removed from text.

Page 4: line 4, may again replace enlargement of lip with swelling

Page 4: para 2...several grammatical mistakes in sentence, e.g. "the disease was running...may be changed to the disease course comprised of...

...on the year 2005...may be changed to , 6 years after initial diagnosis...

Page 5: para 2- a fact not mentioned in other descriptions..may be replaced with... not previously described...

Page 6: para 1 has absolutely no reference...

Page 6: para 3- first sentence doesnot clearly illustrate the message; needs to be reformulated...

What next?: Revise and resubmit

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited