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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Findings that shed new light on the possible pathogenesis of a disease or an adverse effect

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Comments to authors:

1. Modify 4th para under 'case presentation'. Give less details of the ongoing study which is not essetial.

2. 6th para under 'case presentation'- avoid using 'first person'

3. 3rd para under ' discussion'- 4th line, 4th word from the right margin should be changed to 'there'

4. 3rd para under 'discussion' - 4th line avoid using the phrase ' to our knowledge'

What next?: Accept after minor revisions

Quality of written English: Acceptable