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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Findings that shed new light on the possible pathogenesis of a disease or an adverse effect

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Comments to authors:

It is a really unique case of a combined abnormality of the common bile duct and the adjacent arteries. It is well established and the important thing is that the authors do not only present the case but they are trying to present an hypothesis concerning this double abnormality.

The language is acceptable.

The references are adequate enough and presented as requested in the Journal's instructions. I propose to the authors to refer in the discuss section a short reference to what is referred to Skandalakis Surgical Anatomy concerning their findings due to the fact that is the most completed surgical anatomy.

I thing that the prese case report is acceptable in its current form.

What next?: Accept

Quality of written English: Acceptable