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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 9 criteria for evaluation in the journal: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Here my suggestions to the authors: The authors present an interesting and well-written case report. I have to make some few suggestions that should be included before the article can be accepted for publication. The discussion is too long and should be more focussed. I would suggest to integrate a table in which the authors summarize the previously published cases of the topic, but summarizing in subclasses so that the reader have additional information

Quality of written English: Acceptable