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Comments to authors:

General comment- With advancement of laparoscopic technology more and more difficult congenital anomaly can be efficiently managed by this technique. Author wanted to convey same message in this manuscript.

Revisions necessary for publication –

1. description should be Ureteropelvic junction obstruction of the lower moiety of duplicated system of left kidney.

2. Why was Laparoscopic pyeloureterostomy in ureteral duplication with lower polar ureteropelvic junction obstruction was not consider, which is a safe and simple procedure for treating dupex kidney with lower moiety upj obstruction. Reason is more so important that, In duplex kidney ,it is lower moiety which is usually affected by ureteropelvic junction obstruction.

3. There is no description of Laparoscopic pyeloureterostomy in ureteral duplication with lower polar ureteropelvic junction obstruction in the discussion which can treat both obstruction and yo-yo reflux in duplicated ureter. Reference given below:-


4. Why DJ stent was removed after 4 weeks while ideal is 6 weeks.?

I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 9 criteria for evaluation in the journal.

1 New associations between disease and emerging laparoscopic techniques.

2 reported coherently but inadequate discussion. Need to include Laparoscopic pyeloureterostomy as a mode of treatment of this entity.

3 case report authentic-yes

4 Is this case worth reporting -yes with revision

5 Is the case report persuasive?--
- Yes

6. Does the case report have explanatory value?

---yes---------------------------

5. Does the case report have diagnostic value?

-------------------------------------------

- Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?

-------------------------------------------------

- Yes

- Is the anonymity of the patient protected?

------------------------------------------

- Yes
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-------------------

What next?
Given your assessment of the manuscript, what do you advise should be the next step?

- Accept after revisions
- 

Quality of written English

ok

If the standard of writing is a serious impediment to understanding, you should choose the first option below, and we will ask the authors to seek help. If the language is generally acceptable but has specific problems, some or all of which you have noted in your review, choose the second option.

true - Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
- Needs some language corrections before being published
- Acceptable
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