Reviewer's report

Title: Congenital intrarenal arteriovenous malformation causing gross hematuria after endoscopic intervention

Version: 2 Date: 19 March 2008

Reviewer: Jay Raman

I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 9 criteria for evaluation in the journal: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This case report is a nice description of a rare, but significant, complication following ureterorenoscopy. Indeed, many urologists including myself routinely perform such procedures and knowledge of the potential for such complications are important.

The case is well presented. The imaging is good; though, Figure 1 and 2 are flipped in the text and should be corrected.

The description of the management by embolization is important. I would highlight that in the U.S., nephrectomy and partial nephrectomy are only used in refractory cases not amenable to embolization.

Overall, a nice report worthy of publication.

What next?: Accept

Quality of written English: Acceptable