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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Figure legends are wrong: Figure 1 is the angiography, Figure 2 the retrograde ureteropyelography (the numbers are changed here as it is in the case presentation).

From the urological point of view this case is very interesting because of the fact that this rare and uncommon condition has to be taken into consideration when evaluating recurrent hematuria.

What next?: Accept after minor revisions

Quality of written English: Acceptable