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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Comments to authors:

1. The authors have reported that the gentleman had bilateral hydroureteronephrosis with raised creatinine which subsided with catheterisation. How was the patient managed after removing the catheter.

2. Was the site of perforation identified during laparotomy

3. Was there any evidence of urinary ascites on ultrasound or during laparotomy - suggestive of bladder perforation

4. Did he had a laparotomy in the past, if so could it be the cause for intestinal obstruction

5. In the case presentation section - Though the symptoms started on the first day after the operation they were not severe enough to prevent him from been discharged from the hospital 3 days post operatively. (from been is not grammatically sound)

6. In the abbreviations section micro mole per litre is typed as millimole per litr
What next?: Accept after minor revisions

Quality of written English: Acceptable