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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 9 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The present manuscript presents the cases of ureteral fibroepithelial polyps (FEP) associated with a calculus in 3 women. The authors describe their therapeutical approach and review the current literature.

It is true that similar cases have been published in the past (Ref 8,9 plus Carey Urology 2006). However, the rarity of disease in female adults and the coexistence of ureteral calculi makes this report interesting.

However, some issues should be addressed: I think that the authors should discuss more the optimal follow-up of these patients based on the literature and their experience, since this could be clinically useful for the readers.

Laparoscopic management of large ureteral FEP has also been reported and should be included in treatment options.

I do not believe that stones in the ureter may be responsible for the formation of FEP because in this case the incidence of FEP would be higher. I agree that the opposite seems to be more probable.
**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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