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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Comments to authors:

The case report is not unique, although has several interesting features. I recommend to precise the title as to: Giant organ confined prostatic adenocarcinoma: case report

There are several reports on giant prostatic carcinoma but not so many for organ confined with such a high PSA.


On the introduction, it is refereed (1) but there is no relationship on the text. Reference 2 is not cited.

It should be refereed where was the location of the carcinoma, diffuse? As most of reported cases of giant cancer are also huge BPH. With this it should be discussed also the possibility or explain that this confined cases could be due giant BPH with carcinoma on it, in order to try to explain and understand the possibility that they have not spread, as the paper of Stamey et al. does.
The reference for percutaneous biopsy should be extended to other references as described before.

Not all cases described, reported have metastases, such as the paper of Masue N, et al.; as the authors say in the 3rd paragraph of page 5.

The discussion of the second paragraph on page 6 should be referenced.

Figure 3 should be described the magnification and stain.

**What next?:** Revise and resubmit.

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable.