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Dear sir

Here are the reviewers’ comments and their response. Also I checked the manuscript with the journal format. The newly revised manuscript is uploaded via the e-submission system.

Yours truly,
Dr. AM Tofigh

Reviewer’s 1 report
Title: A rare presentation of pancreatic schwannoma - A case report
Version: 1 Date: 18 May 2008
Reviewer: Pier Federico Salvì

I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 9 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes
Is the case report authentic?: Yes
Is this case worth reporting?: Yes
Is the case report persuasive?: Yes
Does the case report have explanatory value?: No
Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No
Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No
Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:
Also if surgical operation in the case reported by Mohammadi Tofigh et al would seem overtreated, since before surgery identification of pancreatic schwannoma is very difficult, both by CT/RM and tumoral markers, treatment is correct and pancreatic localization is effectively not usual and very interesting.

Quality of written English: Acceptable
Declaration of competing interests:
'I declare that I have no competing interests'

Response:
No modification requested

Reviewer’s 2 report
Title: A rare presentation of pancreatic schwannoma - A case report
Version: 1 Date: 26 May 2008
Reviewer: Perran Fulden Yumuk

I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 9 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: No
Is the case report authentic?: No
Is this case worth reporting?: Yes
Is the case report persuasive?: Yes
Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes
Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes
Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes
Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:
Interesting case presentation. Manuscript could be revised for punctuation marks.

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Response:
The manuscript revised for punctuation marks.