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Revised points

Referee 1 (Deiary Kader):

Point 1:

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Authors' response:

a) The mineral density of the pelvic bone increases with age and the mineralization rate changes throughout fetal life, *is corrected by*

This study showed that the mineral density of the pelvic bone increases with age and the mineralization rate changes throughout fetal life, paragraph 2, Introduction

b). There was no history of chronic medical illness, or surgical operations. There was no family history of congenital defects or similar conditions. *is corrected by*

There was no history of chronic medical illness, or surgical operations. The family history is free from congenital defects or similar conditions, paragraph 3, Case presentation

c) There was no evidence of any congenital anomalies especially the genitalia, hip bones, or the long bones of lower limbs or chest, *is corrected by:*


Also, systematic examination revealed no evidence of any congenital anomalies especially the genitalia, hip bones, or the long bones of lower limbs or chest.

**Point 2:**

This case is well worth reporting however it is badly written. The author needs to revise the manuscript and correct the grammatical and linguistic errors.

**Authors’ response:**

Spelling and grammatical aspects were revised using the Microsoft tools

Ossification centres is corrected by ossification centers in line 6 under: **Introduction**

---

**Referee 2 (Paul Banaszkiewicz):**

**Point 1:**

The author poses this question at the end of the article but does not provide an answer. The answer is that we don’t really know what has caused this abnormality.
**Authors' response:**

At the end of **Conclusion**, the following statement is added: The answer is that we don’t really know what has caused this abnormality.

**Point 2:**

Perhaps the author could expand a little as to what the knee problem was that made the patient consult an orthopaedic surgeon

**Authors’ response:**

As regard to the knee problem, the following paragraph is added under: **Case presentation:**
She was complaining of pain in the left hip joint that got worse by walking and long standing, otherwise there was no complaint regarding both knees..

**Point 3:**

There are some minor grammatical errors which need correcting and some grammatical “turns of phrases” which could be improved so as it would make the article read better.

**Authors’ response:**

- A fit and otherwise healthy 35 year old female presented” rather than “a young adult female, 35 years old with normal daily activity” line 12 under: **Case presentation**

---

**Point 4:**
A bit more information on the embryological development of the pubis may enhance the article and make it more interesting to readers.

**Authors’ response**

A bit more information on the embryological development of the pubis is added as paragraphs 3 and 4 under: *Introduction*