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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Comments to authors:

It's a very interesting report, the summary is very specific. However, the background and the conclusion must be reviewed, for that:

You are saying that you have preliminary studies about the prevalence in Panama of G6PD deficiency, however, you accept that they are not published; I think that while they are still unpublished, you shouldn't recommend the neonatal screening for this enzymatic deficiency in your conclusions, because the high prevalence referred for you is not based on evidence. In my opinion, you may avoid this commentary.

What next?: Accept after minor revisions

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published