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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors present a case of a 80 yo man with significant co-morbidities who presented with occult anaemia. After routine investigations, his diagnosis was made on the base of capsule endoscopy. I think they should emphasise more clearly the application of capsule endoscopy in high risk patients. If a high risk pt needs a laparotomy, I think the difference in risk between having an enterotomy and, in this case, a small bowel resection, is not significant. Also:

1. Needs improvement in language
2. Structure needs revision (e.g. Materials & Methods after the Abstract?!) 
3. Would avoid using abbreviations E.g. ARCS, GIVEN, TPS etc.
4. Is Ferritin a tumour marker?
5. There is a known association between aortic stenosis and colinic angiodysplasia. Are the authors aware of any such association between angiolipomas & coronary/ peripheral arterial disease?
6. Would be useful to mention the ASA grading of pt
7. What do they think would have been the role of a)double balloon enteroscopy;
b) laparoscopic resection in this patient?

**What next?:** Accept after minor revisions

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published