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I am familiar with the literature and believe that this case meets one of the 7 criteria for evaluation in the journal: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

**Has the case been reported coherently?:** Yes

**Is the case report authentic?:** Yes

**Is this case worth reporting?:** Yes

**Is the case report persuasive?:** Yes

**Does the case report have explanatory value?:** Yes

**Does the case report have diagnostic value?:** Yes

**Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?:** Yes

**Comments to authors:**

It is a very interesting as well as a very rare finding. Bilateral giant aneurysms according to the literature are very uncommon. The authors presented the case clearly defining their diagnostic and therapeutic strategy. For these reasons I think that can be accepted due to This work presents scientific and educational interest, and I think that is should be accepted.

The language is acceptable although the authors are not native English speakers.

The only think that I would like to propose to the authors is to define (and explain) why they did not try to treat these aneurysms by stenting.

The references are recent, adequate and according to journal instructions.

I think the present work should be accepted.

**What next?:** Accept
Quality of written English: Acceptable