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Author's response to reviews: see over
Authors’ response: The anatomical area of this variation is frequently approached by the hand surgeons. Reconstructive procedures require the precise knowledge of the anatomy and nerve variations, since nerve damage is not very difficult to occur.

Even experienced hand surgeons and anatomists are not aware of this variation. Hence, we assume that emphasizing the occurrence of Kaplan’s anastomosis is a small contribution in the literature. Furthermore, this is the 4th case to be presented.

Authors’ response: Orthopaedic experience during patient examination in the Clinics shows that after certain procedures several patients complain for dysesthesias and pain in the area, which are attributed to painful neuromas of the severed nerves.

Authors’ response: The pictures were improved by technical means.

Authors’ response: Cadaveric dissections, study of the variations, review of the literature and preparation of the manuscripts are done by a team of scientists in our Anatomical Department, and everybody had a contribution for this publication. Nevertheless, one of the authors was removed from the authors list.
Referee 2 (Jean Karl Soler):

**Point 1:** I would suggest a review of the English text.

**Authors’ response:** The English text was revised by a native English speaker.

I am sending the above responses for your consideration and I am looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Your sincerely,

Dr George Paraskevas  
Lecturer of Anatomy  
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki  
Thessaloniki, Greece